Report title Indicator GHG Emission Report, v1.1 1.21.4

Instructions

vitamins, amino acids, and other micro ingredients.

of the ingredient groups.

This template is intended for reporting greenhouse gas emissions results to ASC. The Feed Standard does not prescribe a specific standard or set of methods for generating GHG values. However, suppliers should be aware that the development of the Farm Standard requirements may necessitate the application of specific methods for feed emissions in the future.

Emissions can be reported in either or both columns using a biophysical or economic allocation approach. Emissions results must be provided according to scope (1-3) as well as by input/activity, being general feed ingredient categories and additional transport and milling emissions that aren't otherwise captured within ingredients. Transport and milling emissions should be at least equal to the sum of scope 1 and scope 2 emissions. If possible, emissions should also be broken down by category (fossil, biogenic, or land use change), facilitated by certain databases and assessment methods. Any uncategorized emissions should be reported as 'Unspecified emissions' (If feed suppliers are unable to determine emissions by category, the total of all emissions can be reported as unspecified).

This template is also expected to reflect the resolution of data that feed suppliers will need to provide to farms to satisfy feedrelated emissions modeling for the Farm Standard. Feed suppliers should be ready to adjust the composition of ingredients used in calculations to reflect typical compositions of feeds relevant to each producer, whether that is on a producer-level or a general species-level (e.g. average ASC-compliant salmon feed composition), so that relevant emissions estimates are available to aquaculture producers for their own calculations.

| Table 1. Production year | | Calendar Year | | Cargill Location | |
|--|--------------------|--|-------|------------------|--|
| Year of production (yyyy) | 2023 | 2023 | ✓ Sui | rrey \ | |
| Table 2. GHG emissions by scope GHG emissions Emissions scope | | per tonne of ASC compliant feed (kg CO2-eq/t) Biophysical (mass) model Economic model | | | |
| Scope 1 | | | 40.20 | 40.2 | |
| Scope 2 | | | 1.53 | 1.5 | |
| Scope 3 | | | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Total | | | 41.73 | 41.7 | |
| Table 3. GHG emissions by category | | | | | |
| Emissions cate | agory and a second | Biophysical (mass) mo | odel | Economic model | |
| Fossil emissions | | | 41.73 | 41. | |
| Biogenic emissions | | | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Land use change emissions | | 0.00 | | 0.0 | |
| Unspecified emissions | | 0.00 | | 0.0 | |
| Total | | | 41.73 | 41.1 | |
| Table 4. GHG emission by Input / Ac | • | Disabusias (second) | 4-1 | Farmeria | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Quantity (kg/t) | Biophysical (mass) mo | | Economic model | |
| Soy crop inputs | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Other crop inputs | | | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Reduction fishery inputs | | | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Fishery by-product inputs | | | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Poultry / livestock inputs | | | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Other feed inputs | | | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Transport and milling | | | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| Total | | | 0.00 | U U | |

Transport-related emissions may be difficult to separate from ingredient production and processing emissions, depending on the data source used. Do not include any transport emissions in 'Transport and milling' that are already counted in the emissions of one